Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction

UNITED STATES NORTHERN	DISTRICT COURT District of NEW YORK
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	ORDER AND AMENDED JUDGMENT REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	REDUCTION TORSOANT TO 10 0.5.C. § 5502(C)(2)
V.	
KOLONGI RICHARDSON	Case Number: 5:04-cr-397-002 (DNH) USM Number: 12856-052
Date of Previous Judgment: <u>January 5, 2006</u> (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)	Lisa A. Peebles, Esq. Defendant's Attorney
reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a gu	ureau of Prisons $\square$ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a addeline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and sion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:  ☐ DENIED. X GRANTED and the defendant's the last judgment issued) of 108 r	s previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to <u>87 months</u> .
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RAN Previous Offense Level: 34	IGE (Prior to Any Departures) Amended Offense Level: 32
Criminal History Category: <u>I</u>	Criminal History Category: <u>I</u>
Previous Guideline Range: <u>151</u> to <u>188</u> months	Amended Guideline Range: <u>121</u> to <u>151</u> months
sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, guideline range.  ☐ Other (explain):	ange. an the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment da IT IS SO ORDERED.	ated <u>January 5, 2006</u> shall remain in effect.
April 25, 2008	
Order Date	AM1(1)
Effective Date (if different from order date)	David N. Hurd District Judge